R. G. A. 4 of 92.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-93.

192.

(A. R.)

File No

Serial Nos

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—

Major. ~~Part B~~ for December 1892

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Siddi Gouda, Virupa-samudra Paragada Pakut against Patel Hombli lands.

(Ld) RG

(1)

Pune

Revenue Appeal
No. 99 of 1892-93

Appellant. Vide
Gowda Patil
Hissedar of
Virupasandra
Pavagada Taluq

On 19 December 1890
Patil Ramaiyya Gowda
complained to the
General Assistant
Commr. Tumkur
District, that he respd
the 6 umidi lands[X]
of his office out of
which 4 were taken
up by the hissedars
while he remaining
four remained as
Govt. waste, that
the Assessment on
these four lands
viz Rs 39-8-0 was
power

[X] Survey Numbers 95, 96, 97
126, 230 & 32.

Area	Assess:
A. G.	R. a
60. 54	39-8.

honour- hence re-
moved from his
Potgi and prayed
that either he land
Potgi be restored
to him or the Amlah
removed from his
Potgi refunded.

That office called 20-12-00
for the correspondence
from the Taluk
regarding Pattegranth
of the two members
of the hissedars

The Appellants petition-2-2-3
-ed the Dy. Commr
stating that he was
a hissadar & the
Umbli lands were
in the Kan Joint Khata
of all the hissedars,
that Ramalinga Gowda
re-ined them
without his knowledge

and

5

26 · 3 · 91. The Amildar reported, Basis with reference to the complaint of the appellant that the list Numbers claimed by him were in the name of Ramalinga Gowda who resigned them in 1887-88, and Karnata Records contains in Ramalinga Gowda's statement were.

30 · 3 - 91 The A.C. Concur ordered that the lands might be registered in the name of the encumbrance doing duty i'e Ramalinga Gowda —

12 · 5 - 91 This order was carried and Endorsement given by the Amildar D.R. appellant.

22 · 5 · 91 The appellant again complained D.R. A.C. Concurrence and

and submitted Statements
-ments paid by the
Amildar -

The Records were 23-5-91
Called for

The Amildar submitted 6-9-91
the records -

The Dy. Comm. -
directed the Amildar 15-9-91
to give a detailed
Endorsement of the
Appellant in accordance
with the previous direction
of the Case.

The Amildar reported 12-11-91
having done so - and
returned the papers.

The Amildar again 24-11-91
asked for the papers
as Kane Gowda wanted
some copies and the
the Dy. Comm. returned them - 27-11-91

The Amildar returned them
again for ~~record~~ 16-3-92

/

P.D.R.
11/8/93.

10 resigned, Nos 126, & 230
assigned by the 2d &
3d Respts & the other
Members were waste
tile they were made
over to Applt in 1864 -
Nl 1st Recd 51 Will & that the Court
right to Rent them on
full asst as he
voluntarily resigned
the Lands & does not
claim them tile the
Applt purchased them, &
it is not clear why the
Dy Comr ordered the
Lands to be restored
to them & Heirs & Reps
to them than the
heirs of had
no objection to the Applt
Applt having them. Gen
Rpt cancel the order
issued by the Dy Comr
& direct that the 4 Members
above referred to lee
given on Patta to the
Applt. & preserve

of the 20 & 32 Regts
of Nos 126 & 230
cannot be disturbed

21/8/93

21/8/93

Mr is referred to
Dy Genl to whom orders
have been issued to
forward them Palla for
~~H. of Recruits~~
Nos 32, 95, 96, & 97

24/8/93

24/8/93

Pet
1982

270-02-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, 27-2-93

13

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(3)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1960
A.S. 99
dated 1-2-93 received at the
Dewan's Office from Sidda Gowda son
of Honniappa Patel of Nanjapura
Pachnigad Taluk, appealing
against the Deputy Commr's order
regarding the Patels remuneration.

is forwarded, together with its seven
enclosures, to the Deputy Commr
Tumkur District, for submission
of proceedings with a report on
the facts of the case and on the
points taised in the petition
& the petition should be returned

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
February 1893

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

Print the case in 2 & 3 Sl Rs

No: 919

Lunkin District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Lunkin 22nd May 1923

(4)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Official

Memorandum No: 1821 of the 2nd Instant,

asking for forwarding for submission

of proceedings with a report, petition

from Venkatagowda son of Timmaiah

Patel of Kempapuradoddi in the Taluq

of the said, appealing against the

order of this office regarding the

Patel Service Inam, I have the

honour to state that the petitioner had

addressed a petition to this office on

the subject in February last. Enquiry

showed that Kempagowda, Patel of the

Village, died, in April last, leaving

Nos: 3, 5, 9, 11, 25, 230 and 82 —

measuring

measuring 60 — 3 leading an assess-
=ment of Rupees 3 — 3 — which he
resigned in 38 — 39. Two of these
Numbers were subsequently taken
up by two of the 20 Class Raiders
while the remaining 4 numbers were
lying waste, but the assessment
of Rupees 3 — 3 — due on the above
lands for two years was however
declared out of the Stage advance
of Patil Ramalingi Sonba who
represented that he had no objec-
=tion to the remaining 4 Numbers of
lands being either restored to him
as Inam or to Kanalagam, or
granted to the petitioner as above
and prayed that the amount
declared out of the Stage advance
on account of Kanalagam of the
resigned lands might be remitted
to him. In the ordered on the

30 6/12

30th March 93 That the lands might be granted to Peter Ramaninjatovo on Tenalagem —

2. On a reminder from the Engineer again addressed to me on the subject, and suggesting that the matter was already disposed of, and could not interfere with it again —

3. examined the order — the petition & my appeal.

4. The Inclosures of the memorandum under reply are herewith returned with records of the case —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. Rowe

Dy Deputy Commissioner

H.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 1960
DATE 1-2-93

(1)

8 18

Petition
Amrk
1/2/93

A.S. No 99
92-93.

Amrk
1/2/93

ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ 126 ಎಲ್‌ಕೆಎ 2021, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 27.12.2021 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

3 ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

~~ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು~~ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

2 ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

S. Subbaraya
Advocate.

25

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

enjoyed by the applicant and Respondents according to their respective shares, and since they were assigned Nos 126 & 230 are enjoyed by the 2nd and 3rd Respondents and the other members were unable, till they were made over to appellant in 1971. The 1st Respondent has the least right to have them on full assessment as he voluntarily resigned the lands and did not claim them till the Applicant wanted them, and it is not clear why the Deputy Commissioner ordered the lands to be made over to him (1st Respondent) when he himself had no objection to the appellant having them. Government therefore cancel the order issued by the

Deputy Commissioner and direct that the 4 numbers above referred to be given on Patta to the appellant. The possession of the 2nd and 3rd Respondents of Nos 126 & 230 cannot be disturbed.

"By order"

579193

Asstt

Chief Secretary

Re 4888 / F 44-93 d/ 8-3-93.

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner Trichinopoly with the record received with his No. 9193 dated 2nd May 1893.

"By order"

Chief Secretary

SV.

No. 1960.

Enclosures returned on 18/9/97

27

(6)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
~~21st August~~ 1893
~~2~~ September 1893

Endorsement granted to Siddi Gowda, Huntadanahalli
Patel Kasaba Hobli, Kunigal Taluk

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1960 dated 1st February 1893
appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order regarding
his Patel Inam lands

Petitioner is ~~informed that~~ referred to the Deputy Commissioner
to whom orders have been
issued to grant him Patta
for Nos 32, 95, 96 & 97.

L.R. 130-92-5

Ref
6/9/92

2 Enclosures are returned

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

S.C.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Ba[redacted]

Ex.
F.44-93

1-12-93

30

MYSO DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PET. ON DEPARTMENT.

(9)

OFFICI MEMORANDUM.

The accom mying Petition No. 1238

~~dated~~ ——— ——— received at the
on 8.11.93
Dewan's Office from Lidlagondi of
Vikrapasandra, Pavagada
Taluk, complaining that the
order of Government passed
on his appeal regarding certain
Patelkarnam lands has not yet been
carried out
is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District for disposal
~~with reference to Government~~
~~order No. 4555 dated 8th Sept~~
~~1893~~ and under intimation

L.R. 135-92-9

By Order,

Draft

Bangalore, 25
Jan. 1893

Chief Secretary.

29/11

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

and prayed that the
lands might be
registered in the
name of the appella
and himself.

5.2-91 The petition was
returned for
presentation of the
Amildar and
transmission with
his Endorsement

23.3-91 Amildar examined
Ramalinge Gowda
who stated that he
is survey numbers
are in his khata,
that he resigned them
in 1887-88, that in
the same year one
himself Subanna
having cultivated
the No. 230, it was
entered in Talwar's Cash
No-7 and he obtained
it into his name
by Amildar, that
another himself

Karl Gowda

(5)

M. R. 1960 D

1st Feb 1893

Appt

Siddegowda

Venkatasamudra

Pargada Taluq

Tumkur

Respt

1 Ramalingegowda

2 Karegowda

3 Narasakka

R. 1st Respt who was Patail resigned Patail service in our lands consisting of

~~4~~ 5 numbers, ~~one 60.34 and 39-8~~ one 60.34 and 39-8 in 1887-88.

~~of the lands~~

	acres	ans
no 32	13.31	18-8
95	3.24	1—
97	7.16	8-8
96	11.18	6—
126	3-11	13—
230	11.24	2-8
Total	50.34	39-8

wop in 1887-88 1 & No 3 of Asher 1889-90

Of these numbers, i-e nos 230, & 126, have (i-e in 1887-88 & 1889-90)

* since taken up by the Hissadara Nos 2 & 3, other 4 were being treated as Gan waste. In 1890, appt claims the lands on the ground

that he has possession as a shewer of them & that they were reassigned without his knowledge & the 1st Respt admitted the appt's claim & stated that he had no objection to the lands being made over to him & that he was also willing to make up the lands in full ass[t]. & the Govt passed an order on 30th March 1869 that the lands be registered in the name of the 1st Respt. & appeal is against this order

At the hearing the appt, & the 1st & 2d Respts admitted that prior to the reassignation of the lands by the 1st Respt, all the lands were enjoyed by the appt & Respts in common in the respective shares & [illegible] they were

(General Serial No. 32)

12 1892. 3 (2)

No. 1960

Name of Petitioner. Siddo Gowda
Son of Hanumantha

Village. Kanchanahalli

Taluk. Nelamangala

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 1 — 2 — 93

Date of Receipt. 1 — 2 — 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

The pet^r Ramalinga Gowda & the Patels doing duty by turns, complains in the Chavadi. The latter now on their assigned lands of pet^r Patel Nanjunda Gowda in the name of his deceased Kinsmen. This is irregular but unnoticed by the Deputy Commissioner. Taking D^o authorities pray for enquiry & justice being done

2/12.

2/17/93.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

5/14/11/92

Nil case

Sufficient L.R. 130-92-1

Order produced

Order is dated 14-11-92 & is to the effect that as the subject matter of complaint appears to have been of 1891, the D.C. cannot interfere. The appeal be viewed as being against order of 1891, it would not be so, but a copy of the proceedings filed by Pet^r shows that these lands related to Patta of the lands entered in the Pet^r Patel's name & the present complaint which of the lands, by the act of the Patel, allowed to pass out of the family. The question of limitation is not to be received for consideration in view of the D.C.'s report. Petition will be sent to the D.C. for report & proceedings in order.

1

Yes

Nil

1892

1892

1892

Geog. Surv. 616

14

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892 .93. 13056
Pet 532

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur dt.

(9)

L.R. 120-92-3

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Govt. Mysore.

Dated 8th May 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 9 & Record.

General No.
No. 919.

Chief Secretary's Offl: Memo:
No: 1082 of the 24th February
1893—.

—"—

Reports on petition of
Lingalégowda son of Hannatta
Potel of Virupasandra in
the Pavagada Talúk ap.
:pealing against the Dy:
Com:s: order regarding his
Potéli Inámi lands—.
Returns enclosures with
record of the case.

Petn for

M. G. P. Bangal re. 27-1-93.—5,000.

Noted 5/7 April 93

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਨੀ ਚਾਹੁੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਿੰਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੰਭਾਲਣ ਲਈ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲਈ ਅਪਲਾਈ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਿੰਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੰਭਾਲਣ ਲਈ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲਈ ਅਪਲਾਈ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਿੰਮੇਵਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੰਭਾਲਣ ਲਈ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਲਈ ਅਪਲਾਈ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।

23

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(7)

Petition Appeal No. 1960 of 1st February 1893

Appellant.

Siddegowda, Virupasamudra
Pavugada Taluk,
Tumkur District

Vs.

Respondents

1 Ramalinga Gowda
2. Karegowda
3. Narasakka.

L.R. 130-92-H

Decision. dated 24-8-93

The 1st Respondent who was Potail resigned his Potaili Service Inam lands consisting of the following numbers, viz 1887—88:—

	acres	assessment
No 32	13-31	A-a-h
" 95	3-24	8-8-0
" 97	7-6	1-0-0
" 96	11-18	8-8-0
" 126	3-11	6-0-0
" 230	11-24	13-0-0
Total	50-34	2-8-0
		39-8-0

Two of these numbers, i.e. Nos 230 and 126 have been at price, i.e. in 1887-88 and 1889-90, and taken up by the Hissedars — Respts Nos 2 and 3; and the other 4 were being treated as

Government waste.

In December 1890, Appellant claimed the lands on the ground that he had possession of them as a sharer, and that they were resigned without his knowledge. The 1st Respondent admitted appellant's claim and stated that he had no objection to the lands being made over to him, and that he was also willing to take up the lands on full assessment. The Deputy Commissioner passed an order on 30th March 1891 that the lands be registered in the name of the 1st Respondent. The appeal is against this order.

2. At the hearing, the Appellant and the 1st and 2nd Respondents admitted that prior to the resignation of the lands by the 1st Respondent, all the lands were enjoyed

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 1238

Name of Petitioner. Patail Gidde Gou

Village. Virooparaamudra

Taluk. Ranagada

District. ~~Chitaldroog~~ Tumka

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 7-11-93

~~Date of Disposal.~~

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

With reference to this offi
endorsement no: 1960, dat
18-9-93, the petitioner stat
that the shanbhogs without ca
ing the Commissioners' decision
into effect, is making delay
unnecessary references &
prays that the Deputy Comm
may be ordered to restore hi
his inam lands early to prepare
par

Katie in his name + to take
quit-rent from him.

12/11.